

Papers of

This morning I talked for three-quarters of an hour with the Chancellor.

Either it was a bed norming for him or he has failed somewhat since last spring. He was either evasive or not making very much sense.

He started out by a very gloomy description of the world. The Russians, he said, were discouragingly powerful. They had 20 divisions in East German, 9 East German divisions, and 70 more Russian divisions near by. When I asked about the figures, he said they were authentic. Thus nothing could be done against then with conventional power. Ruclear power must not be used. Therefore, we must negotiate.

I questioned the "therefore".

The great trouble with the West, is indicated, was disunity. He was going to see Macmillan and de Goulle in an effort to restore unity. With unity and strong American leadership a successful negotiation was possible. The Chancellor knew from his talks with Ehrushchev in 1955 that Ehrushchev's great fear was of the Chinese. There was room for negotiation, even though the Emssians had taken East Berlin.

I kept asking the Chemcellor why Khrushchev should make any concessions whatever if he were in the position pictured by the Chancellor. His only ensure was that Khrushchev's fear of the Chinese would lead him to settle with the West while he could. I said that if I were advising Khrushchev I would tell him to stand pat on concluding a treaty with the GDE, to insist that the West - to use Kroll's term - "respect the sewereignty of the GDE," and to promise that access to West Berlin

would be

would be completely free so long as all access, both by air and by land, was checked through East German personnel. To this the Chencellor replied that this would be good advice indeed. I saked: good advice for whos? He assewered: for everybody.

I asked whether the Chemcellor thought Mr. Ehrushcher believed that we would use moclear wapons over the Berlin issue. The Chemcellor enswered that while we must not use these wapons, we must not tell Brushchev we would not do so. 'I explained that Strees had told me last night that he had given the US Government a paper on the military steps that West Cermany was willing to take and hoped that this was a step forward. The Chemcellor did not respond. I explained the Ackeon theory of the non-nuclear builday in Europe. He thought that this was hopeless since no one, except the German, would do anything. At this depressing point he was taken sway to see the Fresident.

Dean Acheson